UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,491	04/20/2004	Hei-Tong Ching	4444-0143PUS1	3297	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 05/18/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIRCI	4	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			AKANBI, ISIAKA O		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2886		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/18/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		TH
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	10/827,491	CHING ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
Ti MANUNO DATE AND	Isiaka O. Akanbi	2886
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 F</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-6,8-23 and 25-33 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8-23 and 25-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 March 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Application rity documents have been received to the control of	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 412)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Art Unit: 2886

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 27 February 2007 has been entered. Claims 30-33 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 9-11, 14-18, 20-23, 25 and 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Droge et al. (5,291,028).

Regarding claims 1 and 16, Droge discloses optical measuring apparatus shown in figs. 1-4a that is a reflection apparatus, comprising:

a light source (3) and guiding module (7) having a light source module and a light-guiding apparatus, said light source module (3) providing a spontaneous emission light, said light-guiding apparatus (7) reflecting said spontaneous emission light to a linear incident light (fig. 3C)(col. 1, line 15-20), and said linear incident light irradiating a detection area (11) and a receiving module/image-sensing module (10/4) for imaging and processing said linear incident light passed through or reflected by said detection area (11)(fig. 3A-3C), wherein said light-guiding apparatus (7) has first open end for receiving the spontaneous emission light and has a second open end (9/17) for outputting the linear incident light, said first opening end being larger than said second open end (figs. 1 and 2B).

Art Unit: 2886

As to claim 2, according to claim 1, Droge discloses said light-guiding apparatus (7) is configured between said light source (3) module and said detection area (4)(figs. 1-2B).

As to claims 3 and 18, Droge further shows in fig. 2B that said light source module comprising LED light array (3).

As to claims 4 and 21, Droge discloses the limitation wherein the geometric type of the light-guiding apparatus (7) is wedge-shaped light-guiding apparatus and a straight-line-type wedge-shaped light-guiding apparatus (figs. 1, 3B-3C).

As to claims 5 and 22, Droge discloses the limitation wherein the filled material inside said light-guiding apparatus is glass, acrylics, and polycarbonate (col. 5, line 66-67).

As to claims 6, 23 and 28-29 Droge discloses the limitation wherein the exterior enclosure of light-guiding apparatus (7) is a combination of a plurality of reflection elements (i.e. plexiglass, stainless steel sheets) that is capable of reflecting and transmitting spontaneous emission light (col. 5, line 66-67).

As to claims 9 and 20, Droge also discloses the limitation wherein said optical measurement apparatus comprises a light-mending lens (10/15), configured between said light source module (3) and said detection area (4), and the material of said light-mending lens is chosen from the group consisting of glass, acrylics, and polycarbonate (col. 5, line 65-67).

As to claim 10, Droge discloses further the limitation wherein said receiving module comprises an image module (15) and an image-sensing module (4), and said image module is configured between said detection areas and said image-sensing module (fig. 1).

As to claims 11 and 25, Droge further discloses the limitation wherein said image module 910/15) comprises a focusing lens (col. 3, line 64-65)(col. 6, line 10-12)(col. 8, line 34-42).

As to claims 14 and 27, Droge discloses image-sensing module (4) that comprising a area sensor and a linear sensor (col. 1, line 8-20).

As to claims 15 and 17, Droge further discloses a platform for (i.e. scanner) supporting and transporting a test sample to move in one-dimension direction (i.e. line)(col. 1, line 8-20 and line 50-col. 2, line 4).

As to claims 30 and 31, Droge discloses the limitation wherein said light-guiding apparatus (7) is wedge-shaped (col. 5, line 59-60)

As to claims 32 and 33, Droge discloses the limitation wherein said linear incident light out of said light-guiding apparatus is continuous (col. 3, line 63-col. 4, line 1).

Art Unit: 2886

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 8, 13, 19 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Droge et al. (5,291,028) in view of Prober et al. (5,306,618).

As to claims 8 and 19, Droge fails to disclose an excitation filter, configured between said light source module and said detection area.

However, the use of filter (i.e. an excitation filter) that is configured between said light source module and said detection area is known, as evidence by Prober (32) (fig. 1)(col. 11, line 12-14).

Therefore it would have been at least obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Droge by incorporating an excitation filter configured between the light source module and detection area for the purpose of altering or isolating a segment of the spectrum, as per the teachings of Prober.

As to claims 13 and 26, Droge is silent regarding image-sensing module (4)(fig. 6B) comprising a filter lens and a dichroic mirror.

Prober teaches of image-sensing module (38) comprises a filter lens and a dichroic mirror (col. 12, line 13-20).

Art Unit: 2886

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Droge by using spectral filters/filter lens for the purpose of separating light spatially by wavelength and use the dichroic beamsplitter/mirror for the purpose of detecting the differences in print contrast, as per the teachings of Prober.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Droge et al. (5,900,131) in view of Simpson et al. (6,017,434)

As to claim 12, Droge fails to disclose the image module comprising a micro diffraction grating configured between said detection area and said focusing lens, and the image module comprises a projection lens between said focusing lens and said image-sensing module.

However, since Droge does not limit the image module to be used and the use of image module and image module comprising a micro diffraction grating to separates light into rays of different wavelength, which diverge along the direction of spectral axis, a projection/collection lens (222)(fig. 2A) collimates the scattered light into parallel rays (col.10, line 43-55) is known, as evidenced by Simpson, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify Droge by incorporating a micro diffraction grating between the detection area and the focusing lens and a projection lens between the focusing lens and the image onto the sample/detector for the purpose of separating the light into rays of different wavelength, as per the teachings of Simpson.

Additional Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references listed in the attached form PTO-892 teach of other prior art optical measuring apparatus that may anticipate or obviate the claims of the applicant's invention.

The use of cylindrical lenses/mirror to shape spontaneous emission light (LED) to a continuous linear incident light is well know as evident by Roengaus et al. (7,072,034 B2)(col. 13, line 14-17).

Response to Arguments

In response to Applicant's arguments see pages 8-11, filed on 27 February 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6, 8-20, 22-23 and 25-29 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

Application/Control Number: 10/827,491 Page 6

Art Unit: 2886

and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of claim amendment.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isiaka Akanbi whose telephone number is (571) 272-8658. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tarifur R. Chowdhury can be reached on (571) 272-2287. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isiaka Akanbi May 11, 2007

TARIFUR CHOWDHURY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER